

**JOURNAL of MUJADDID NUSANTARA**

ISSN: 4567-8654 (P); 1234-5678 (E)

Received: 09-03-2024 | Accepted: 28-03-2024 | Published: 31-03-2024

**The Racial Justice Dilemma: Examining the Enforcement of Capital Punishment in Contemporary Legal Systems**

**Nurhabibi<sup>1\*</sup>, Serimin Pinem<sup>2</sup>, Musta'ana<sup>3</sup>, Maimun A. Rahman<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Shuffah Al-Qur'an Abdullah bin Mas'ud Online Lampung Selatan, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Program Studi Ilmu Hukum, Universitas Medan Area, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Universitas Bojonegoro, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup>Universitas Islam Al-Aziziyah Indonesia, Indonesia

Email: [1abudaud431@gmail.com](mailto:1abudaud431@gmail.com), [2seriminpinem@staff.uma.ac.id](mailto:2seriminpinem@staff.uma.ac.id),

[3anarochim@gmail.com](mailto:3anarochim@gmail.com), [4maimun@unisai.ac.id](mailto:4maimun@unisai.ac.id)

**ABSTRACT**

This research aims to discuss the dilemma of racial justice that arises in the enforcement of capital punishment in various contemporary legal systems. Although legal principles mandate justice and equality before the law, the enforcement of capital punishment often reflects glaring racial imbalance. This study analyzes the factors causing racial disparities in the application of capital punishment, as well as its impact on public trust in the legal system. The research also investigates various reform efforts that have been proposed or implemented to address the issue of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment. Referring to various reports, studies, and official sources from Indonesia, it can be concluded that urgent reforms are needed to address this dilemma. Measures such as racial awareness training for legal professionals, increased access to legal aid, and a review of law enforcement policies are key to improving racial justice and rebuilding public trust in the justice system. Thus, this analysis not only provides a deeper understanding of racial justice issues in the context of capital punishment in Indonesia but also highlights the efforts needed to create a fairer, more inclusive legal system respected by all members of society.

**Key Words:** Racial Justice, Death Penalty, Contemporary Legal Systems.

**INTRODUCTION**

The enforcement of capital punishment has become a controversial subject in various parts of the world. Although some countries still maintain it as part of their legal system, an increasing number of nations are starting to abolish or restrict its use due to ethical, humanitarian, and justice considerations. One of the

main criticisms of capital punishment is the inequality in its enforcement, especially in racial contexts (Kholiq, M. A., 2009).

Amidst the complexity of contemporary legal systems, racial justice issues continue to be a central focus of heated and profound debate. One context where the dilemma of racial justice is starkly manifested is in the enforcement of capital punishment. In legal systems expected to uphold pillars of justice and equality, capital punishment stands as one of the most serious and impactful legal decisions. However, the enforcement of capital punishment is often entwined with systemic tendencies that result in glaring racial disparities (Blume, J. H., 2004). In contemporary legal systems, racial justice issues have garnered increasing attention (Ahmad Fadlil, 2015). One of the most controversial aspects in this context is the application of capital punishment. Capital punishment, as the most severe form of punishment that can be handed down by the justice system, should reflect principles of justice and equality. However, in reality, the enforcement of capital punishment often raises serious questions about racial justice within the legal system.

Inequality in the application of capital punishment mirrors the inherent injustice within legal structures that are supposed to embrace universal principles of justice. Across various regions, statistical data shows that individuals of certain racial backgrounds are more likely to receive capital punishment compared to those from other racial groups. This disparity not only creates legal inequality but also prompts fundamental questions about the integrity of the justice system and the ethos of justice it should uphold. Disparities in the enforcement of capital punishment also depict concerning patterns where individuals from specific racial backgrounds are more prone to receiving capital punishment than those from other racial groups (Edytya, 2019). Statistical data indicates that in many jurisdictions, Black or other racial minority individuals are disproportionately executed or sentenced to death compared to their White counterparts. This phenomenon not only reflects legal inequality but also raises profound questions about the moral and ethical principles underlying the justice system.

The causes of racial disparities in the enforcement of capital punishment are highly complex and involve many interconnected factors. One major factor is racial bias that may exist among legal decision-makers, including judges, juries, and prosecutors. This bias can be reflected in jury selection processes, sentencing disparities, and final legal decisions. Additionally, disparities can also be influenced by socio-economic factors such as access to quality legal aid, the quality of legal services received by defendants, and the ability to afford competent lawyers. Systemic factors also play a crucial role in creating racial disparities in the enforcement of capital punishment (Anggara, et al., 2017). For example, law enforcement practices based on certain ethnic or racial profiles, as well as policies

exacerbating social and economic inequalities, can contribute to racial gaps in the application of capital punishment. Furthermore, social structures supporting racial stereotypes and prejudices can also influence legal decisions ultimately made in capital punishment cases.

Racial disparities in the enforcement of capital punishment are not just issues of justice but also have broader impacts on legal compliance and public trust in the justice system. Distrust in systemic justice can lead to legal non-compliance, social tensions, and doubts about the legitimacy of the legal system. Especially in minority communities feeling disadvantaged by the system, feelings of injustice can threaten social stability and trust in legal authority (Nimerodi Gulo, 2018). Additionally, inequality in the enforcement of capital punishment can reinforce public distrust in the judicial process and racial justice overall. This condition can disrupt the relationship between society and law enforcement agencies, as well as undermine the legitimacy of the legal system as a whole. In the long term, this can lead to decreased legal compliance, increased social tensions, and threaten the stability of the legal system as a whole.

This research aims to explore and deeply examine the dilemma of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment within contemporary legal systems. Through the analysis of relevant literature and policies, it will highlight the factors causing racial disparities in the application of capital punishment, as well as its impact on public trust in the legal system. Additionally, it will consider various reform efforts that have been proposed or implemented to address the issue of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment (Anggraeni, D. A., 2020). By understanding and critically examining this issue, it is hoped that more effective and sustainable solutions can be formulated to achieve the shared goal of creating a fair, neutral, and non-discriminatory legal system for all members of society. May this article contribute meaningfully to dismantling the walls of inequality and building a strong foundation of justice in contemporary legal systems.

## **RESEARCH METHODS**

This research employs qualitative research methods (Ratnaningtyas, E. M., 2023) with literature and policy analysis (Nazir M, 2014). Through literature analysis, efforts can be made to investigate previous research, journal articles, and policy reports related to the issue of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment. This can provide the necessary context and broaden understanding of this issue.

The following is the proposed outline for literature and policy analysis related to the dilemma of racial justice:

### *Identification of Relevant Literature and Policies*

The first step involves searching for academic literature, research reports, and legal policies related to capital punishment and the issue of racial justice in contemporary legal systems. These sources can be found through academic databases, digital libraries, government websites, and human rights organizations (Djalil, T. 2015). Next, relevant inclusion and exclusion criteria will be applied based on the research topic's relevance to specific legal contexts and the research methodology used.

#### *Evaluation and Selection of Literature*

The next step involves evaluating the identified literature and policies, including analyzing the quality of research methodologies used by previous researchers and the relevance of topics and significance of findings. Then, the most relevant, weighted, and in-depth literature will be selected for further analysis.

#### *Data Collection and Examination*

Relevant information will be gathered from the selected literature and policies, including key findings, arguments, statistical data, and recommendations. Next, patterns, trends, and perspectives emerging from these sources will be identified within the context of the dilemma of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment.

#### *Content Analysis*

Content analysis will be conducted on the selected literature and policies to identify main themes, conflicting arguments, and different perspectives related to the issue of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment. Then, a review and comparison of different approaches used by previous researchers, legal practitioners, and policymakers in the analyzed literature and policies will be carried out.

#### *Synthesis and Conclusion*

Subsequently, similarities, differences, and contradictions among findings from various literature and policy sources will be identified. Then, conclusions will be drawn regarding how existing literature and policies influence understanding of the dilemma of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment, as well as their implications for policy-making and legal practices.

This literature and policy analysis method will provide a better understanding (Bungin, Burhan. 2021) of the conceptual framework and legal framework governing the enforcement of capital punishment in the context of racial justice. Thus, this research will be a significant contribution to discussing and formulating solutions to the dilemma of racial justice faced in contemporary legal systems.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *Racial Disparities in the Application of Capital Punishment*

Research has shown significant racial disparities in the application of capital punishment in many countries. For instance, statistical data indicates that black individuals are more likely to be sentenced to death than white individuals for similar crimes. Factors contributing to these disparities include racial bias among jurors and judges, unequal access to quality legal assistance, and the role of the criminal justice system, which is not always racially neutral (Blume, J. H., 2004).

Within the courtroom, amidst a series of complex and winding legal processes, there lurks the tense shadow of inevitable inequality: racial disparities in the application of capital punishment. A bitter yet undeniably truthful narrative unfolds. In various countries, statistical data exposes worrying patterns: individuals of different skin colors, especially from racial minority groups, are far more likely to receive the death penalty than those from racial majority groups (Rahmansyah Fadlul, 2024). For those fighting against injustice, this is not just cold statistics; it's a real-life story involving human lives.

In the tense courtroom, a defendant stares forward with unspoken fear, realizing that their path to justice may be obstructed by their own skin color. In seemingly neutral jury selection processes, but often influenced by racial stereotypes and prejudices, there is great potential for unfair decisions. The same applies to sentencing by judges who, despite striving for objectivity, are also bound by their own backgrounds and worldviews.

Inequality in the application of capital punishment not only widens gaps between racial groups but also undermines public trust in the justice system (Hamid, A., 2023). Among minority communities often victimized by this unjust system, feelings of despair and distrust in legal justice deepen. Yet, behind every statistical report and every tragic story of those affected, there is a call for change. There are voices demanding urgent legal reforms to address these ongoing racial disparities. Voices affirming the importance of ensuring that justice isn't just an empty slogan but a reality felt by all citizens regardless of their skin color or ethnic background (Edytya, 2019).

On the journey toward true justice, stories of racial disparities in the application of capital punishment serve as inspiration for those determined to change the world. Amidst the darkness of injustice, they bring a beacon of hope that one day, the legal system will fulfill its promise to provide equal and fair treatment for all humans, without exception.

### *Impact on Legal Compliance and Public Trust*

Racial disparities in the enforcement of capital punishment are not only a matter of justice but also have broader impacts on legal compliance and public trust in the legal system. Distrust in systemic justice can lead to legal non-compliance and increase tensions between law enforcement agencies and society, especially in minority communities feeling disadvantaged by the system. In a bustling city, where everyday life is filled with hustle and bustle, there is a network of rules and laws working behind the scenes. However, behind the thick books of law and court decisions, there lies a fragile core: legal compliance and public trust. Two interconnected elements, forming the foundation of peace and stability in a society (Wiranata, A. 2018).

Legal compliance is not just about adhering to existing rules; it's a promise to respect the fair and equal application of rules for everyone. However, when racial disparities continue to prevail in the justice system, legal compliance becomes shaky. How can society comply with rules that seemingly apply unfair and biased punishments? Distrust begins to grow, and dissatisfaction with the legal system strengthens.

Public trust in the legal system is a crucial component in maintaining social stability. However, when perceptions of unfairness and inequality are felt within the judicial process, that trust begins to erode. Society starts to doubt the objectivity and fairness of the legal system, as well as the integrity of law enforcement agencies. This doubt can lead to legal non-compliance, social tensions, and even riots. From an individual's perspective, distrust in the legal system also creates deep feelings of despair and injustice (Kartini Malarangan, 2016). How can someone feel safe and protected by the law if they see that the rules don't apply equally to everyone? For those often targeted by injustice, hope for justice fades further, leaving them vulnerable and marginalized.

Yet, amidst the darkness of uncertainty, there is a call for change. Voices demanding urgent legal reforms to ensure that justice isn't just an empty slogan but a reality felt by all members of society (Petrus Irwan, 2015). Steps to minimize racial disparities in the enforcement of capital punishment, such as racial awareness training for judges and juries, increased access to quality legal aid, and a review of law enforcement policies, are necessary steps to improve legal compliance and restore public trust. By striving to strengthen legal compliance and rebuild public trust, society can move forward as a strong and respected unit. Behind the pressure of surrounding injustice, hope to create a fairer and more inclusive legal system never fades. And therein lies the hope and determination that lead us toward a brighter future, where justice and equality are the cornerstone of a legal system we believe in and respect.

*Reforms to Address the Racial Justice Dilemma*

Amidst the waves of injustice engulfing the judicial system, voices of reform resonate in the corridors of power. In their view, the dilemma of racial justice cannot be ignored or allowed to persist. This is a call for urgent change, to address the stinging inequalities in the enforcement of capital punishment. To tackle the racial justice dilemma in the enforcement of capital punishment, numerous reform proposals have been put forward. Some reform efforts include revising law enforcement policies, providing better racial awareness training for legal professionals, as well as tighter monitoring and reporting on law enforcement practices. In some jurisdictions, moratoriums have been imposed on capital punishment while comprehensive reviews of capital punishment practices are conducted (Heltaji, Herliana, 2021).

First and foremost, steps to minimize racial disparities in the enforcement of capital punishment become a primary focus. In-depth training on racial awareness for judges, prosecutors, and juries is crucial. By understanding the impact of racial stereotypes and prejudices in decision-making, legal professionals can be more cautious and objective in deciding cases related to capital punishment. Additionally, increasing access to quality legal aid is also an integral part of these reforms. Many individuals facing capital punishment lack the resources or support to defend themselves in court. By ensuring that all defendants have equal access to competent legal representation, the opportunity for true justice becomes more likely.

Moreover, a review of exclusive law enforcement policies that may exacerbate racial disparities is also a crucial step. Policies based on specific ethnic or racial profiles should be scrutinized and revised to ensure they do not contribute to inequalities in the enforcement of capital punishment. In some jurisdictions, moratoriums have been imposed on capital punishment while comprehensive reviews of capital punishment practices are conducted. This is a step aimed at providing the government and society with an opportunity to evaluate the impact of capital punishment on racial justice and consider fairer and more humane alternatives (Aurelius, 2012).

Through bold and comprehensive reforms, it is hoped that the dilemma of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment can be overcome. These steps not only help ensure that the legal system operates more fairly and equally for all members of society but also rebuild public trust in the integrity and legitimacy of the justice system. Thus, these reforms are not just about changing laws but also about creating a more just and empathetic society for all individuals, regardless of their skin color or ethnic background.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the description outlined above, it can be understood that the dilemma of racial justice in the enforcement of capital punishment is a serious challenge that must be addressed by the contemporary legal system. Thus, urgent reforms are needed to minimize racial disparities in the application of capital punishment. Measures such as racial awareness training for legal professionals, increased access to legal aid, and a review of law enforcement policies are key to improving racial justice and rebuilding public trust in the justice system. Therefore, the conclusion of this analysis is the necessity of collaborative efforts from the government, legal institutions, civil society, and all stakeholders to ensure that the legal system operates fairly, equally, and is respected by all members of society. Through these steps, it is hoped that Indonesia can move towards a more inclusive legal system that provides equal treatment for all individuals, regardless of their race or ethnic background.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad Fadlil Sumadi, (2015). Hukum dan Keadilan Sosial dalam Perspektif Hukum Ketatanegaraan Law and Social Justice in Constitutional Law Perspective, *Jurnal Konstitusi*, Volume 12, Nomor 4.
- Anggara, Wahyu Wagiman, dkk. (2017). Politik Kebijakan Hukuman Di Indonesia Dari Masa Ke Masa, Jakarta: Institute for Criminal Justice Reform.
- Anggraeni, D. A., & Wahyu, P. (2020). Implementasi Penerapan Hukuman Mati di Indonesia Ditinjau dari Asas Keadilan. *Jurnal Kajian Hukum dan Sosial*, 4(2), 49-60.
- Aurelius Ekliando Kamang, (2021). Kajian Tindak Pidana Diskriminasi Ras Dan Etnis Ditinjau Dari Undangundang Nomor 40 Tahun 2008 Tentang Penghapusan Diskriminasi Ras Dan Etnis. *Lex Crimen Vol. X/No. 5/Apr/EK 2/2021*. 47-56
- Blume, J. H., Eisenberg, T., & Wells, M. T. (2004). Explaining Death Row's Population and Racial Composition. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, 1(1), 165-207.
- Bungin, Burhan. (2021). Penelitian Kualitatif: Komunikasi, Ekonomi, Kebijakan Publik, dan Ilmu Sosial Lainnya. Jakarta: Kencana.
- Djalil, T. (2015). Kajian Hukum: Perlindungan Hak Asasi Manusia dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana di Indonesia. Rajawali Press.
- Edytya, Nindya Putri & Reyhan S. Perwira. (2019). "Kenyataan Penegakan Hukum di Indonesia dalam Perspektif Hukum dan Pembangunan: Hukum Harus Ditaati atau Ditakuti?", *Lex Scientia Law Review*. Volume 3 No. 2, November, hlm. 177-190
- Hamid, A., Oktaria, D. S., & Fathanudien, A. (2023). Pengantar Ilmu Hukum. Yayasan Penerbit Muhammad Zaini.



- Heltaji, Herliana. (2021). "Dilema Hak Asasi Manusia dan Hukum Mati dalam Konstitusi Indonesia." *Pamulang Law Review*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 157-168, doi:10.32493/palrev.v4i2.17747.
- Kartini Malarangan, (2016). *Dampak Kebijakan Penundaan Eksekusi Pidana Mati (Sebuah Gambaran Faktual Perspektif Hukum Pidana Indonesia Dan Negara Lain)*. Palu: Mars Publisher.
- Kholiq, M. A. (2009). *Kontroversi Hukuman Mati dan Kebijakan Regulasinya dalam RUU KUHP (Studi Komparatif Menurut Hukum Islam)*. *Jurnal Hukum IUS QUIA IUSTUM*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.20885/iustum.vol14.iss2.art1>
- Nazir M, (2014), *Metode Penelitian*, Jakarta: Ghalia Indonesia.
- Nimerodi Gulo, Ade Kurniawan Muharram, (2018). *Disparitas Dalam Penjatuhan Pidana, Masalah-Masalah Hukum*, Jilid 47 No.3, 215-227.
- Petrus Irwan Pandjaitan, (2015). *Urgensi Hukuman Berat Bagi Pelaku Tindak Pidana Korupsi*. *Jurnal Hukum tô-râ*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 11-20.
- Rahmansyah Fadlul Alkarim Rambe, (2024). *Pidana Mati dalam Pandangan Hak Asasi Manusia dan Hukum Pidana Indonesia*. *Journal on Education*, Volume 06, No. 02, pp. 14013- 14023
- Ratnaningtyas, E. M., Saputra, E., Suliwati, D., Nugroho, B. T. A., Aminy, M. H., Saputra, N., & Jahja, A. S. (2023). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. no. Januari. Aceh: Yayasan Penerbit Muhammad Zaini.
- Wiranata, A. (2018). *Analisis Hukuman Mati dalam Perspektif Hukum Pidana di Indonesia*. *Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 18(3), 440–453.